

Table of Contents

About the Author	v
Preface	xv
Acknowledgements	xvii
CHAPTER 1	
Introduction	1
§1.01 The Nature of the Derivative Action	1
§1.02 Derivative Actions versus Other Devices Designed to Reduce Agency Costs	2
[A] Market Mechanisms	4
[B] Legal Mechanisms	6
[1] The Strengths of Legal Mechanisms	6
[2] Defects of Legal Mechanisms	6
[3] Public or Private Enforcement of Law?	7
[4] Private Enforcement of Law: Derivative Actions or Other Methods?	9
§1.03 Debates on Derivative Actions: Justifications and Disadvantages	11
[A] Justifications for Derivative Actions	11
[B] Weaknesses of Derivative Actions	12
[C] Summary	13
§1.04 A Comparative Study	13
[A] Derivative Actions in China	14
[B] Derivative Actions in the UK	15
[C] Derivative Actions in the US	17
[D] Why Choose the UK and US as the Jurisdictions for Comparison?	18
§1.05 Legal Transplant	19
[A] The Debate on Legal Transplants	19

Table of Contents

	[B] Why Legal Transplants Could Be Successful in China	20
	[1] Why a Legal Transplant Is Possible and Necessary in China	20
	[2] How Legal Transplants Could Be Effective in China	21
§1.06	Derivative Actions in Public and Private Companies	22
§1.07	Original Contribution	23
§1.08	Structure	24
CHAPTER 2		
	The Need of Enhancing Derivative Actions in China	25
§2.01	Double Agency Costs in China	25
	[A] Introduction	25
	[B] Background to Agency Costs	26
	[C] Vertical Agency Costs between Shareholders and Managers	29
	[1] The SOE Reform	29
	[2] Insider Control	31
	[D] Horizontal Agency Costs between Majority Shareholders and Minority Shareholders	35
	[E] Summary	38
§2.02	Non-legal Protections for Minority Shareholders in China	39
	[A] Introduction	39
	[B] The Product Market	39
	[C] The Labour Market for Managers	41
	[D] The Capital Market	42
	[E] The Market for Corporate Control	44
	[F] Summary	47
§2.03	Legal Protections for Minority Shareholders in China	47
	[A] Introduction	47
	[B] The Sources of Legal Protections Conferred in Favour of Minority Shareholders	48
	[C] Developments of Legal Protection for Minority Shareholders Prior to the Chinese Company Law 2005	49
	[1] Company Law 1993	50
	[2] Administrative Regulations	52
	[3] Judicial Interpretation	54
	[a] Judicial Interpretations	54
	[D] General Protections for Minority Shareholders under the Company Law 2005	56
	[1] Improvements in Protection for Minority Shareholders	57
	[2] Deficiencies of the Protection	59
	[a] Rights Conferred on Shareholders	59
	[i] Right to Know	59
	[ii] Cumulative Voting System	62

Table of Contents

	[iii] Restriction and Proxy Voting System	63
	[iv] Appraisal Right	65
[b]	Measures to Constrain Directors and Controlling Shareholders	68
	[i] The Supervisory Board ('SB')	68
	[ii] Independent Directors	70
	[iii] Duties of the Directors	72
	[iv] Duties of the Controlling Shareholders	73
[E]	Conclusion	74
CHAPTER 3		
	Derivative Actions in China	75
§3.01	Shareholders' Direct Actions in China	75
	[A] Introduction	75
	[B] The Grounds for Raising Direct Actions	76
	[1] Defects in Resolutions	76
	[a] Illegal Content	76
	[b] Illegal Procedures	77
	[2] Infringement of the Right to Know	77
	[3] Actions to Withdraw from the Company	79
	[4] Actions to Dissolve a Company	80
	[5] False Statements in Listed Companies	81
	[C] Distinctions between Direct Actions and Derivative Actions	82
	[1] Different Purpose	82
	[2] Different Qualifications of Plaintiffs	82
	[3] Different Beneficiaries	83
	[4] Different Requirements for Raising Proceedings	83
	[5] Different Requirements of Settlement	83
	[6] Different Scope of Claim Preclusion	84
	[D] The Connection between Direct Actions and Derivative Actions	84
	[E] Summary	87
§3.02	Derivative Actions in China I	88
	[A] The Background to the Chinese Company Law	88
	[1] The History of Chinese Company Law before the Establishment of the PRC	88
	[2] The Absence of Chinese Company Law before 1993	90
	[3] The Adoption of the Chinese Company Law	90
	[4] Implications of the Legislative Process of Chinese Company Law	92
	[a] How Company Law Lies at the Frontier of Reforms at Different Stages	92
	[b] Most Rules of Chinese Company Law Transplanted from Western Countries	93

Table of Contents

	[c] Innovation Was Never Absent	94
[B]	The Development of Derivative Actions in China	94
	[1] The Development of Derivative Actions Prior to Company Law 2005	95
	[a] Company Law 1993	95
	[b] Rules and Judicial Interpretation	97
	[c] Summary	100
	[2] Judicial Application of Derivative Actions in Practice: Case Studies	100
	[a] Research Methodology	100
	[b] Overview of Derivative Actions Cases Prior to the Company Law 2005	101
	[c] Analysis of the Refused Cases	101
	[i] Refused Case Study: Facts of <i>Sanjiu</i>	102
	[ii] Comments on <i>Sanjiu</i> Case	103
	[d] Analysis of the Accepted Cases	103
	[e] Other Findings	106
[C]	Conclusion	106
§3.03	Derivative Actions in China II	107
[A]	Introduction	107
[B]	Legal Framework of Derivative Actions in Chinese Company Law 2005	108
	[1] The Standing Requirement	108
	[2] Defendants and the Causes of Action	113
	[a] The Scope of Defendants	113
	[b] The Causes of Action	113
	[3] Demand Requirement	114
	[a] The Effect of the Demand	116
	[b] Demand Excused or Waiting Period Waived?	117
	[4] Other Issues	118
[C]	The Judicial Application of Derivative Actions under the Company Law 2005	119
	[1] Cases Concerning Joint Stock Companies	119
	[2] Cases in Limited Liability Companies	121
	[a] Overview	121
	[b] Outcome of Derivative Actions	123
	[c] Demand Requirement	123
	[d] Location of the Cases	125
	[e] Types of Defendant	126
	[f] The Costs of Litigation	128
	[g] Substantive Aspects: Unclear Standard	132
[D]	The Role of Derivative Actions in China	133

CHAPTER 4		
	Comparative Analysis	135
§4.01	Why Derivative Actions Can Be Encouraged	135
	[A] Culture	136
	[B] The Judiciary	138
	[C] Summary	140
§4.02	Improving China's Derivative Actions	140
	[A] Who Can Sue?	140
	[1] The Standing Requirement in the UK	140
	[2] The Standing Requirement in the US	141
	[3] Suggestions for China	141
	[a] Is the Principle of Contemporaneous Ownership Needed?	141
	[b] Is the Continuing Ownership Rule Needed?	143
	[c] Is Fair and Adequate Representation Needed?	144
	[B] Who Can Be Sued?	145
	[C] The Status of the Company	146
	[D] The Cause of the Action	148
	[E] Settlement	150
	[F] Procedural Restrictions	151
	[1] Different Approach	151
	[2] The Ambiguity of the UK Approach	152
	[3] The Strengths of the Demand Requirement	155
	[G] Summary	155
§4.03	Who Is Responsible for Assessing Derivative Actions?	156
	[A] Introduction	156
	[B] Two Different Approaches	156
	[1] The US Approach: The Special Litigation Committee	156
	[a] The Special Litigation Committee Approach: Company Approach	157
	[2] The UK Approach: The Courts	158
	[C] Evaluating the Two Approaches	159
	[1] The Company Approach	160
	[a] Rationales	160
	[b] Counter-Arguments	160
	[2] The Court Approach	162
	[D] Suggestion for China	163
	[1] The US Approach: The Adoption of Special Litigation Committees?	164
	[2] The General Meeting: A Say for Shareholders?	165
	[3] The Court Approach	167
	[a] Lack of Judicial Independence	168
	[b] Less Interference in Commercial Cases	169

Table of Contents

[4]	The Arbitration Approach	170
[a]	Arguments for the Arbitration Approach	170
[b]	Arguments against the Arbitration Approach	171
[E]	Summary	173
CHAPTER 5		
Making	Derivative Actions Work in China: The Funding Issue	175
§5.01	Introduction	175
§5.02	Legal Costs in China	177
[A]	Court Fees	177
[B]	Lawyer's Fees	179
[C]	Other Issues	180
[D]	Summary	180
§5.03	Funding Derivative Actions in English Law: The Indemnification Order	180
§5.04	Funding Derivative Actions in the US: The Contingency Fee Arrangement	182
§5.05	Which Model Is Most Suitable for China? A Critical Evaluation of English and US Rules	183
[A]	The Solution in English Law: Indemnity Costs Orders	183
[B]	The Recent Development of the UK Funding Rule: Conditional Fee Arrangements	186
[C]	The Assessment of Contingency Fees Arrangements	189
[1]	The Advantages of Contingency Fee Arrangements	189
[2]	Criticisms of Contingency Fee Arrangements	189
[a]	Strike Suits	190
[b]	Cheap Settlements	191
[D]	Why Contingency Fee Arrangements Are Suitable for China	192
[1]	Why the English Funding Rule Is Not Suitable for China	192
[2]	The Grounds for Adopting the US Funding Rule	193
[3]	The Potential Problems in Adopting the US Funding Rule	194
[a]	Strike Suits	194
[b]	Cheap Settlements	195
[E]	Other Issues	196
[1]	Filing Fees	196
[2]	The Calculation of Lawyer's Fees	197
[3]	Security-for-Expenses	198
CHAPTER 6		
Conclusion		201
§6.01	The Approach of This Book	201
§6.02	Striking a Fair Balance between: (a) Protection for the Company and Minority Shareholders and (b) Corporate Efficiency	204
§6.03	Derivative Actions in Corporate Governance	205
§6.04	Beyond This Book: Future Direction	205

Table of Contents

ANNEX I	
Derivative Actions Cases Prior to Company Law 2005	209
ANNEX II	
Derivative Actions Cases after Company Law 2005	211
References	217
Table of Cases	233
Table of Legislation	239
Index	245