

Table of Contents

Foreword	xiii
Introduction: The Competition Policies of Intellectual Property	1
CHAPTER 1	
The Competition Policies of Acquiring Intellectual Property	7
§1.01 Setting the Right Dosage	7
1. The Case of Monopolies (1602), Trinity Term, 44 Elizabeth I	7
2. Lab. Corp. of America Holdings v. Metabolite Labs., Inc., 548 U.S. 124 (2006)	11
§1.02 Setting the Standards of Differentiation	15
[A] Patents: Genuine Novelty	15
3. Atlantic Works v. Brady, 107 U.S. 192 (1883)	15
4. Julia P. Hotchkiss v. Miles Greenwood, 52 U.S. 248 (1850)	18
5. Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City, 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	21
[1] The Patent in Issue in No. 11, <i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i>	27
[2] The Patent in Issue in No. 37, <i>Calmar, Inc. v. Cook Chemical Co.</i> , and in No. 43, <i>Colgate-Palmolive Co. v. Cook Chemical Co.</i>	28
[B] Copyright: Genuine Creativity/Originality	31
6. Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service Co., Inc., 499 U.S. 340 (1991)	31
7. American Dental Association v. Delta Dental Plans Association, 126 F.3d 977 (7th Cir. 1997)	39
[C] Trademarks: Distinctiveness	43
8. Mark Foy's Ltd. v. Davies Coop & Co. Ltd. ("Tub Happy case") [1956] HCA 41; (1956) 95 CLR 190 (9 August 1956)	43
[D] Alternativeness	48
9. Le Roy v. Tatham, 55 U.S. 156 (1852)	48
10. O'Reilly v. Morse, 56 U.S. 62 (1853)	50

Table of Contents

11. <i>Wallace Int’l Silversmiths, Inc. v. Godinger Silver Art Co., Inc.</i> , 916 F.2d 76 (2d Cir. 1990)	57
12. <i>Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV v. Remington Consumer Products Ltd</i> , Case C-299/99 (2002)	61
[E] New Uses, Equivalents, Materials, and Advantages	67
13. <i>Smith v. Nichols</i> , 88 U.S. 112 (1875)	67
14. <i>Roberts v. Ryer</i> , 91 U.S. 150 (1875)	69
15. <i>General Electric Co. v. Jewel Incandescent Lamp Co.</i> , 326 U.S. 242 (1945)	74
16. <i>Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co. v. Supermarket Equipment Corp.</i> , 340 U.S. 147 (1950)	77
[F] Excluding Abstract Ideas from Patent Protection	80
17. <i>Boulton and Watt v. Bull</i> , 1795	81
18. <i>Gottschalk v. Benson</i> , 409 U.S. 63 (1972)	90
19. <i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 561 U.S. 593 (2010)	92
CHAPTER 2	
The Competition Policies of Using Intellectual Property	105
§2.01 Refusing to License Intellectual Property	105
20. <i>Data General Corp. v. Grumman Systems Support Corp.</i> , 761 F. Supp. 185 (D. Mas. 1991)	106
21. <i>Microsoft Corp. v. Commission</i> , In Case T-201/04 (2007)	110
22. <i>Intergraph Corp. v. Intel Corp.</i> , 195 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	120
23. <i>Image Technical Services, Inc. v. Eastman Kodak Co.</i> , 125 F.3d 1195 (1997)	129
24. <i>DW Integrators CC Claimant v. SAS Institute (Pty) Ltd.</i> , Case Number: 14/IR/NOV99 (1999)	139
25. <i>Radio Telefis Eireann (RTE) and Independent Television Publications Ltd. (ITP) v. Commission (Magill case)</i> , Joined Cases C-241/91 P and C-242/91 P (1995)	142
26. <i>IMS Health GmbH & Co.</i> , Case C-418/01 (2004)	147
27. <i>Sega Enterprises Ltd. v. Accolade, Inc.</i> , 977 F.2d 1510 (9th Cir. 1992)	152
§2.02 Licensing (and Assigning) Intellectual Property	166
[A] Territorial Restrictions	167
28. <i>Etablissements Consten SARL and Grundig-Verkaufs-GMBH</i> , Joined Cases 56/64 and 58/64 (1976)	167
29. <i>Miller Insituform, Inc. v. Insituform of N. Am., Inc.</i> , 830 F.2d 606 (6th Cir. 1987)	170
[B] Tying	173
30. <i>Illinois Tool Works Inc. v. Independent Ink, Inc.</i> , 547 U.S. 28 (2006)	173
31. <i>U.S. Philips Corp. v. ITC</i> , 424 F.3d 1179 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	177
32. <i>United States v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 253 F.3d 34 (D.C. Cir. 2001)	183
33. <i>Kentucky Fried Chicken Corp. v. Diversified Packaging Corp.</i> , 549 F.2d 368 (5th Cir. 1977)	194
[C] Covenants Not to Challenge	203

34. <i>Windsurfing International, Inc. v. European Commission</i> , in Case 193/83, judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) on 25 February 1986	203
35. <i>Lear v. Adkins</i> , 395 U.S. 653 (1969)	204
36. <i>Idaho Potato Commission v. M&M Produce</i> , 335 F.3d 130 (2d Cir. 2003)	213
[D] Royalties	220
[1] Setting the Level of Royalties	220
37. <i>Philips Electronics NV v. Ingman Ltd. and another</i> (1998)	221
38. <i>Kooninklijke Philips Electronics N. V. v. National Institute of Industrial Property (INPI)</i> , Appeal in writ of mandamus 2006.51.01.504157-8 (2008)	225
[2] Discriminatory Royalties	226
39. <i>KFTC Decision No. 2009-281 (Qualcomm case)</i>	226
[3] Royalties on Unpatented Goods	231
40. <i>Mercoird Corp. v. Mid-Continent Investment Co.</i> , 320 U.S. 661 (1944)	231
41. <i>Automatic Radio Manufacturing Co., Inc. v. Hazeltine Research, Inc.</i> , 339 U.S. 827 (1950)	234
[4] Post-expiry Royalties	236
42. <i>Brulotte v. Thys Co.</i> , 379 U.S. 29 (1964)	237
43. <i>Kai Ottung v. Klee & Weilbach A/S and Thomas Schmidt A/S</i> , Case 320/87 (1989)	239
[E] Resale Price Maintenance	242
44. <i>Leegin Creative Leather Prods. v. PSKS, Inc.</i> , 551 U.S. 877 (2007)	243
[F] Grant-Back Clauses	252
45. <i>Transparent-Wrap Machine Corp. v. Stokes & Smith Co.</i> , 329 U.S. 637 (1947)	252
[G] Cross-licensing, Exclusive Licensing, Assigning, and Pooling	257
46. <i>Blount Mfg. Co. v. Yale & Towne Mfg. Co.</i> , 166 F. 555 (D.C. Mas. 1909)	257
47. <i>United States v. Line Material Co.</i> , 333 U.S. 287 (1948)	263
48. <i>Tetra Pak v. Commission</i> , Case T-51/89 (1990)	271
49. <i>Eli Lilly and Co. v. Apotex Inc.</i> , 2005 FCA 361, [2006] 2 F.C.R. 478	279
[H] Unfair Competition	287
50. <i>Federal Trade Commission v. Raladam Co.</i> , 283 U.S. 643 (1931)	287
51. <i>Federal Trade Commission v. Sperry & Hutchinson Co.</i> , 405 U.S. 233 (1972)	293
[I] Obstruction of Markets	298
52. <i>Shri Shamsher Kataria Informant v. Honda Siel Cars India Ltd. et alii</i> , Case No. 03/2011 (2014)	299
§2.03 Enforcing Intellectual Property Rights	309
[A] Threats to Sue	309
53. <i>Halsey v. Brotherhood</i> , 1880. L.R. 15 Cn.D. 514	309
54. <i>Granby Marketing Services Limited v. Interlego AG</i> , [1984] RPC 209	311
55. <i>Virginia Panel Corp. v. Mac Panel Co.</i> , 133 F.3d 860 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	318
56. <i>CVD, Inc. v. Raytheon Co.</i> , 769 F.2d 842 (1st Cir. 1985)	322
[B] Suppression	330

Table of Contents

57. <i>Continental Paper Bag Co. v. Eastern Paper Bag Co.</i> , 210 U.S. 405 (1908)	330
58. <i>Julius E. Foster v. American Machine & Foundry Co.</i> , 492 F.2d 1317 (2nd Cir. 1974)	335
[C] Abuses of the Judicial (or Administrative) Process	337
[1] Sham Litigation	338
[a] PRE Test	338
59. <i>Professional Real Estate Investors, Inc. v. Columbia Pictures Inds., Inc.</i> , 508 U.S. 49 (1993)	338
60. <i>Monsanto Company v. Comisión Nacional de la Defensa de la Competencia</i> , Case 13.676/07	345
61. <i>Sanofi Aventis v. Instituto Nacional da Propriedade Industrial (INPI)</i> , Civil Appeal 2008.51.01.817159-7 (2010)	348
[b] Walker Process Test	350
62. <i>Walker Process Equipment, Inc. v. Food Machinery & Chemical Corp.</i> , 382 U.S. 172 (1965)	351
63. <i>Nobelpharma AB v. Implant Innovations, Inc.</i> , 141 F.3d 1059 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	353
64. <i>Semiconductor Energy Lab. Co., Ltd. v Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.</i> , 204 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	362
65. HC 114.846 (YKK Case) (1982)	365
66. <i>AstraZeneca AB v. Commission</i> , Case C-457/10 P (2012)	368
[2] Other Abuses of the Judicial and Administrative Process	379
67. <i>Assessment Technologies of WI, LLC v. Wiredata, Inc.</i> , 361 F.3d 434 (7th Cir. 2004)	379
68. <i>Federal Trade Commission v. Actavis, Inc.</i> , 133 S. Ct. 2223 (2013)	385
§2.04 Remedies	394
[A] Injunctions	394
69. <i>eBay Inc. v. Mercexchange, LLC</i> , 547 U.S. 388 (2006)	394
[B] Compulsory Licenses	397
70. <i>United States v. Glaxo Group Ltd.</i> , 410 U.S. 52 (1973)	397
[C] Exhaustion	402
71. <i>United States v. Unis Lens Co.</i> , 316 U.S. 241 (1942)	402
[D] Forfeiture	408
72. <i>Vitamin Technologists, Inc. v. Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation</i> , 146 F.2d 941 (9th Cir. 1945)	408
73. <i>Hartford-Empire Co. v. United States</i> , 323 U.S. 386 (1945)	413
74. <i>United States v. Crescent Amusement Co.</i> , 323 U.S. 173 (1944)	419
CHAPTER 3	
The Competition Policies of Intellectual Property in Regulated Markets	423
§3.01 Intellectual Property in Sectors of Special Public Interest	423
75. <i>JT International SA v Commonwealth of Australia</i> [2012] HCA 43 (2012)	424
76. <i>AstraZeneca AB v. Commission</i> , In Case C-457/10 P, 2012	437

77. <i>Glenwood Labs., Inc. v. Am. Home Prods. Corp.</i>, 455 F.2d 1384 (C.C.P.A. 1972)	443
§3.02 Technical Standards	445
78. <i>Practice Management Information Corp. v. The American Dental Medical Association</i>, 121 F.3d 516 (9th Cir. 1997)	445
79. <i>Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm Inc.</i>, 501 F.3d 297 (2007)	448
80. <i>Rambus Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission</i>, 522 F.3d 456 (D.C. Cir 2008)	458
81. <i>Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd v. ZTE Corp.</i>, Case C-170/13 (2014)	468
Index	479